

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

10/518879

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

RECEIVED

28 APR 2004

WIPO

PCT

Applicant's or agent's file reference		FOR FURTHER ACTION See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)	
International application No.	International filing date (day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)	
PCT/US03/20596	26 June 2003 (26.06.2003)	27 June 2002 (27.06.2002)	
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC			
IPC(7): G06F 9/00, 1/26; G01C 21/26; H04B 7/185 and US Cl.: 718/100; 713/320; 701/213; 342/357.06			
Applicant			
CHANG, TING-MAO			
<p>1. This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.</p> <p>2. This REPORT consists of a total of <u>13</u> sheets, including this cover sheet.</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e., sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT).</p> <p>These annexes consist of a total of <u>9</u> sheets.</p> <p>3. This report contains indications relating to the following items:</p> <p>I <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Basis of the report</p> <p>II <input type="checkbox"/> Priority</p> <p>III <input type="checkbox"/> Non-establishment of report with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability</p> <p>IV <input type="checkbox"/> Lack of unity of invention</p> <p>V <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement</p> <p>VI <input type="checkbox"/> Certain documents cited</p> <p>VII <input type="checkbox"/> Certain defects in the international application</p> <p>VIII <input type="checkbox"/> Certain observations on the international application</p>			
Date of submission of the demand		Date of completion of this report	
24 January 2004 (24.01.2004)		13 April 2004 (13.04.2004)	
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/US Mail Stop PCT, Attn: IPEA/US Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230		Authorized officer AN MENG-AI Telephone No. 703-305-3900	

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/US03/20596

I. Basis of the report

1. With regard to the elements of the international application:*

- ☐ the international application as originally filed.
- ☒ the description:
 pages 1-5, 7-10, 12-13 and 15-23 as originally filed
 pages 6, 11 and 14, filed with the demand
 pages NONE, filed with the letter of _____.
- ☒ the claims:
 pages 1-4, as originally filed
 pages NONE, as amended (together with any statement) under Article 19
 pages 5-10, filed with the demand
 pages NONE, filed with the letter of _____.
- ☒ the drawings:
 pages 1-5, as originally filed
 pages NONE, filed with the demand
 pages NONE, filed with the letter of _____.
- ☐ the sequence listing part of the description:
 pages NONE, as originally filed
 pages NONE, filed with the demand
 pages NONE, filed with the letter of _____.

2. With regard to the language, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the language in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.

These elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language _____ which is:

- ☐ the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).
- ☐ the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).
- ☐ the language of the translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3).

3. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the international preliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:

- ☐ contained in the international application in printed form.
- ☐ filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
- ☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.
- ☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.
- ☐ The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished.
- ☐ The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished.

4. ☒ The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:

- ☒ the description, pages NONE
- ☒ the claims, Nos. NONE
- ☒ the drawings, sheets/fig NONE

5. ☐ This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).**

* Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17).

** Any replacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this report.

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.
PCT/US03/20596

V. Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. STATEMENT

Novelty (N)	Claims <u>1-68</u>	YES
	Claims <u>NONE</u>	NO
Inventive Step (IS)	Claims <u>1-68</u>	YES
	Claims <u>NONE</u>	NO
Industrial Applicability (IA)	Claims <u>1-68</u>	YES
	Claims <u>NONE</u>	NO

2. CITATIONS AND EXPLANATIONS

Claims 1-68 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3)-, because the combination of KOU (U.S. 5,978,923) and MOORE et al. (U.S. 6,237,101) does not teach or fairly suggest a method for a computing device to discover mobility context and response to said mobility context, the method comprising:

- receiving a job and the trigger condition of said job, wherein said trigger condition define a trigger state in said mobility context;
- storing said trigger state in memory of a mobility context receiving device (MLRD), wherein said MCRD is capable of receiving current state of said mobility context;
- receiving current state of said mobility context;
- checking said current state with said trigger state in memory of said MCRD;
- outputting a signal if said current state is subset of said trigger state; and
- executing said job by said application on a host system after receiving said signal.

----- NEW CITATIONS -----